

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3463 of 2017

Smt. Dhanmati W/o Shri Raghu, Aged About 67 Years R/o Sweeper Colony,
Sidharth Chowk Raipur Tahsil And Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Municipal Corporation Raipur, Through : The Commissioner, Municipal
Corporation, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Hemant Kumar Kesharwani, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer
For Municipal Corp.	:	Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate

S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

31/07/2017

1. The limited prayer which the petitioner has sought in the present writ petition is that her initial appointment was on a regular post of 'Safai Kamgar' on 3.12.1972 on which post she continued till her retirement on 30.6.2012 as such she had continuously worked for a period of more than 40 years.
2. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that though the Petitioner has been retired in the year 2012, her claim for pension has not been finalised or settled by the respondents. According to the counsel for the Petitioner, she is entitled for pension, but for the reasons best known the respondents, respondents are not processing her case.
3. The documents enclosed with the present writ petition do not reflect of any representation having been made by the petitioner. Let the petitioner make a detailed representation in this regard to the Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Raipur within four weeks from today and on receipt of the said representation the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raipur is directed to ensure that the representation is decided at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the said representation. It is further directed that in case, if the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raipur finds that the Petitioner is entitled for pension, the same shall be ordered to be released forthwith and in case if she is not found entitled she should be intimated in writing giving specific reasons as to why she is not entitled for the same.

4. With the aforesaid direction, the present writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge