

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2589 of 2017

Neeta Sethiya W/o Sanjay Sethiya Aged About 23 Years R/o Village Leda, Post Leda (Bajarpara), Block Chhindgarh, District- Sukma, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through: The Secretary, Woman And Child Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Collector, Sukma, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Chhindgarh, District- Sukma, Chhattisgarh
4. Project Officer, Child Integrated Development Project, Tongpal, District Sukma, Chhattisgarh
5. Ku. Savitri Baghel, D/o Mahadeo Baghel Aged About 25 Years Posted As Anganbadi Workers At Anganbadi Center Leda Thothapara, Gram Panchayat Leda, Janpad Panchayat Chhindgarh, Tongpal, District- Sukma, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For petitioner	:	Shri Praveen Dhurandhar, Advocate
For Respondent / State	:	Shri Rajendra Tripathi, Panel Lawyer on advance copy

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

19/06/2017

Though number of grounds have been urged in the petition to challenge legality and validity of selection and appointment of respondent No.5, learned State counsel pointed out and learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute that there is a remedy of filing appeal before the Collector.

I do not find any extra ordinary reason, why this petition should be entertained without insisting on exhaustion of alternative remedy. Therefore, in these circumstances, this petition is finally disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to prefer appeal before the Collector.

In case, the appeal is preferred within a period of 30 days from today, the Collector shall decide the appeal on merits without entertaining any objection to the limitation aspect.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Deepti