

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 6576 of 2017

1. Smt. Priti Gupta D/o Motilal Gupta Aged About 24 Years Occupation Assistant Teacher (Panchayat), At Govt. Primary School, Lara, R/o Village Tarda Nawapara (A) Post Badehaldi, Tahsil Pusour, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
2. Prabhu Dutt Padhi S/o Lalit Padhi, Aged About 24 Years Occupation Assistant Teacher (Panchayat), At Govt. Primary School, Keshla, Tahsil Pusour, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Welfare Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Pusour And Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Petitioners
For Respondents

Shri R.S. Patel, Advocate.
Shri Sangharsh Pandey, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

29/11/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the issue involved in the present case is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in the matter of **Ku. Girija Patel vs State of Chhattisgarh and others**, passed in WPS

No. 2791 of 2017, decided on 03.07.2017, in respect of similarly situated employee, whose transfer from the jurisdiction of one Zila Panchayat to other Zila Panchayat has been held to be without jurisdiction and illegal by this court in WPS No. 1273 of 2016 (**Smt. Geeta Sukhdeve Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others**) and batch of petitions, decided on 23.12.2016.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that **Ku. Girija Patel** (supra) was also one of the aggrieved employee like the petitioners, who had assailed the order of transfer from one Zila Panchayat to other Zila Panchayat, which was set aside by this Court. Later on, **Ku. Girija Patel** (supra) filed another writ petition bearing WPS No. 2791 of 2017 claiming that she is entitled to salary for the period it was not paid to her for non-joining pursuant to the impugned order of transfer. That petition has been allowed vide order dated 03.07.2017 with direction to make payment of salary with effect from the date of transfer till the date of joining.
3. Learned State counsel would not dispute the legal position and that the case of the petitioners are similar to that of **Ku. Girija Patel** (supra), in whose favour order has been passed by this Court on 03.07.2017. He, however, would submit that it would require factual verification as to the date which the petitioner joined duty.
4. In view of the aforesaid submission, I am inclined to allow this petition on the same terms as in the case of **Ku. Girija Patel**

(supra) and direct the respondents to grant consequential relief including wages with effect from the date of transfer till the date, the petitioners joined their duty. Let necessary steps be taken in this regard within a period of 60 days from today.

5. The writ petition stands allowed in terms of the order passed in **Ku. Girija Patel** (supra).

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

Akhilesh