

(3)

CF1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

✓(s)
WRIT PETITION NO. 5275/2006

PETITIONER


Kanhaiyalal Prasad, S/o Shri Rameshwar Prasad Aged about 46 years, Occupation-service, Presently working as Sub Engineer (Civil) in the Office Executive Engineer, Hasdeo Barrage Water Management Division, Korba (C.G); R/o Qtr.No.G/6 Irrigation Colony, Rampur/Korba, District-Korba (CG)

P.R. No. 5319/06
 Presented by R. K. P. S.
 dated 23/9/06

VERSUSRESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Engineer-in-Chief, Water Resources Department, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Chief Engineer, Minimata (Hasdeo) Bango Project, Water Resources Department, Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur (CG).
4. The Superintending Engineer, Hasdeo Project Circle, Rampur-Korba, District-Korba (CG).
5. The Executive Engineer, Hasdeo Barrage Water Management Division, Rampur-Korba, District-Korba (CG).
6. The Engineering Administrative Officer, Minimata (Hasdeo) Bango Project, Water Resources Department, Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur (CG).
7. Puna Ram Vaikar, Sub-Engineer, presently posted at Hasdeo Project Circle, Korba

RECEIVED-COPY
 23/9/06
 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



(4)

8. Khem Raj Khobragarhe, Sub-Engineer; presently posted at Hasdeo Project Circle, Korba
9. Shri H.N. Oriya, Sub-Engineer, presently posted at Hasdeo Project Circle, Korba.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE
NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR
OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS,
DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS ETC.



110

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WP No. 5275 of 2006

• Kanhaiya Lal Prasad

---- Petitioner

Versus

• State Of Chhattisgarh & Ors.

---- Respondent

For Petitioner

For Respondents-State

Ms. Fouzia Mirza, Advocate
Shri UNS Deo, GA for the State

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

11/01/2017

1. It is informed that the cause of action for filing the present writ petition no longer survives as the relief prayed for in this petition has been redressed by the department, however, liberty is sought to move afresh if cause of action pertaining to any other gradation list survives for adjudication.
2. Prayer allowed.
3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge

Ashu