

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 696 of 2017

1. Soniya Yadav W/o Shri Vijay Lal Yadav, Aged About 27 Years R/o Baikunthpur, Raigarh, District Raigarh, Civil & Revenue District Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Jamuna Yadav D/o Jadulal Yadav, Aged About 41 Years R/o Baikunthpur Near Jagannath Mandir, Raigarh District Raigarh, Civil & Revenue District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India Through Secretary, Ministry Of Human Resources Development, Department Of School Education And Literacy, Mid Day Meal Division, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department Of Education, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralay, Naya Raipur District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department Of Finance, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantarlay Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Directorate, School Education Through The Director, School Education Shiksha Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Principal, Govt. Natwar Multi Higher Sec. School, Raigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Rajendra Tripathi, Advocate.
For State : Smt. Astha Shukla, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

09/02/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are working on the post of Cook in the Govt. Natwar Multi. Higher Secondary School, Raigarh and they are being paid only Rs.1200/- per month i.e. Rs.40/- per day, whereas according to the notification Annexure P/2, minimum wages prescribed by the Chhattisgarh Minimum Wage, they are entitled for Rs.255/- per day as they are skilled labour. He would

rely upon the judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Jagjit Singh & Ors.**, decided on 26th October, 2016, in which the Supreme Court has held that the principle of equal pay for equal work will also applicable to all the temporary employees and has been held as under:-

“54. There is no room for any doubt, that the principle of ‘equal pay for equal work’ has emerged from an interpretation of different provisions of the Constitution. The principle has been expounded through a large number of judgments rendered by this Court, and constitutes law declared by this Court. The same is binding on all the courts in India, under Article 141 of the Constitution of India. The parameters of the principle, have been summarized by us in paragraph 42 hereinabove. The principle of ‘equal pay for equal work’ has also been extended to temporary employees (differently described as work-charge, daily-wage, casual, ad-hoc, contractual, and the like). The legal position, relating to temporary employees, has been summarized by us, in paragraph 44 hereinabove. The above legal position which has been repeatedly declared, is being reiterated by us, yet again”

2. In view of above, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioners in the light of aforesaid judgment of the Supreme Court within 30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and to pass a reasoned order in accordance with law on its own merit. The petitioners are at liberty to make an additional representation, if any.
3. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
JUDGE