

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.429 of 2017**

Ram Kumar Rajwade S/o Late Shri Matuk Ram Rajwade Aged About 44 Years Working On The Post Of Panchayat Secretary Village Panchayat Amarpur, R/o Village Rakaya Post Chinddand Tahsil Baikunthpur, District Koriya, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralay, Naya Raipur, P.O. & P.S. Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director Panchayat Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Collector, District Koriya, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Koriya, District Koriya, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Baikunthpur, District- Korea, Chhattisgarh.
6. Village Panchayat Amarpur, Through The Sarpanch Baikunthpur, District Koriya, Chhattisgarh
7. Manish Dwivedi Panchayat Secretary Village Panchayat Barpara Post Patrapali, District- Koriya, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate.
For State : Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

25/01/2017**(1)** Heard.**(2)** Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that

the petitioner is working on the post of Panchayat Secretary, Amarpur and by the impugned orders dated 05.1.2017 and 12.01.2017 passed by respondent No.5 i.e. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Baikunthpur has withdrawn the financial power of petitioner without giving any opportunity of hearing to him and therefore, he may be permitted to file fresh representation before respondent No.4 i.e. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Koriya for redressal of his grievances and direction may be issued to the said authority to decide the same expeditiously.

(3) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(4) The petitioner is permitted to file a fresh representation before respondent No.4 i.e. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Koriya. If such representation is made by the petitioner within 15 days from today and, in turn, respondent No.4 shall consider and decide the same on its own merit and in accordance with law preferably within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order along with representation of the petitioner.

(5) With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-