

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 2221 of 2012

1. M/s Saini Industries Ltd. And Ors. Through Director Shri Chasranjeet Singh Saini Reg. Office At 80a Phase Ii Siltara Industrial Area, Raipur, Ps Dharsiwa, Raipur, Distt Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Shri Charanjeet Singh Saini S/o Jagdish Singh Saini Aged About 32 Years Hig 10, Tatibandh Raipur P.S. Aama Naka Raipur C.G.
3. Shri Jagdish Singh Saini S/o Trilok Singh Saini Aged About 57 Years Hig 10, Tatibandh Raipur, P.S. Aama Naka Raipur C.G.
4. Smt. Anita Saini W/o Jagdish Singh Saini Aged About 54 Years Hig 10, Tatibandh Raipur P.S. Aama Naka Raipur C.G.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. Grievance Redressal Committee And Ors. Through Managing Director & Group Executive (Ibg), State Bank Of India Corporate Cente, State Bank Bhawan, Madam Cama Road, Mumbai, 21
2. Dy. General Manager State Bank Of India, Commercial Branch(8536) 2nd Flr. Pujari Chambers Pachpedi Naka Raipur C.G.
3. Reserve Bank Of India Deptt. Of Banking Opert. Devel. Central Office, 11th Flr. Central Office Building, Shahid Bhagat Road, Mumbai 400001
4. Credit Inform. Bureau Of India Ltd. Hoechst House, 6th Flr. 193, Backbay Reclamation Nariman Point Mumbai 400021

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Ankit Singhal, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 1 & 2 : Shri Abhishek Sinha & Ghanshyam Patel, Adv.
For Respondent No. 4 : Shri Amrito Das, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

25/10/2017

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners' appeal has been rejected on 10.7.2012 and on the basis of which, their representation has also been rejected but the copy of order dated 10.7.2012 has not been supplied to them till date.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 1 & 2 submits that copy of order dated 10.07.2012 will be supplied to the petitioners within a

period of two weeks.

(3) I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

(4) Be that as it may, the respondents No. 1 & 2 is directed to supply copy of order dated 10.07.2012 within a period of two weeks. In that event, petitioners are at liberty to challenge the order dated 10.07.2012 and also the orders dated 25.04.2012 & 30.08.2012 in accordance with law before the competent authority.

(5) With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

Judge

D/-