

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 1677 of 2016**

1. Awadh Bihari S/o Jagannath Mishra, Aged About 29 Years R/o Ward No. 4, Khongapani, Ekta Nagar, Colony, P.S Jhagrakhand, Tehsil Manendragarh, District Koriya Chhattisgarh
2. Amin Memon, S/o Abdul Rajjak Memon, Aged About 33 Years R/o Ward No. 9, Chhura Road, Gariyaband, P.S. Gariyaband Chhattisgarh
3. Naveen Kumar Agrawal S/o Shri Shankar Lal Agrawal, Aged About 36 Years R/o Shankar Medicare, Bus Stand Seetapur, District Sarguja, P.S. Seetapur Chhattisgarh
4. Roshan Thakur, S/o N.S. Thakur, Aged About 35 Years R/o Shriram Medical Store, Dhamtari Road, Abhanpur, P.S. Abhanpur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. G.N. Patle S/o N.B. Patel, Aged About 49 Years R/o Mukkamara Tola, Post Sarkartola, Police Station Amagaon, District Gondia Maharastra

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. Pharmacy Council Of India Through Registrar Cum Secretary, Combined Council Building Temple Land Kotla Road, P.O. No. 7020, New Delhi 110002
2. Chhattisgarh State Pharmacy Council, Through Registrar, Qr No. 88, Sector 2, Geetanjali, Nagar, Raipur Pin 492007
3. Chhattisgarh Swami Vivekanand Technical University, Bhilai, Through Its Registrar, North Park Avenue, Sector 8, Bhilai Chhattisgarh
4. All India Council For Technical Educaiton, Through Its Registrar, Indira Gandhi, Sports Complex, I.P. Estate, New Delhi,
5. Gurukul Institute, Through Its Director, Gariyanand Campus, Main Road, Gariyaband District Gariyaband Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Ms. Sharmila Singhai, Advocate
 For Respondent No. 2 : Mr. Vaibhav A. Goverdhan, Advocate.
 For Respondent No. 3 : Mr. Prateek K. Singh, Advocate.
 For Respondent No. 5 : Mr. Sameer Uraon, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****21/07/2017**

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have passed B. Pharma course from Gurukul Institute, Gariyaband, respondent No. 5 herein but the Pharmacy Council of India (for short "PCI") has not registered them as Pharmacist on the ground that respondent No. 5-College is not registered with the PCI. He further submits that the application has also been filed by respondent No. 5-College to the PCI on 1.11. 2010 for registration of the students as Pharmacist but that has not been considered and decided till now and, therefore, a direction may be issued to the PCI to consider and decide the application filed by respondent No. 5 for registration of the students expeditiously.

(2) Be that as it may, respondent-1/ Pharmacy Council of India is directed to take decision on the application filed by respondent No. 5-College for registration of the students as Pharmacist expeditiously preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(3) With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-