

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.1660 of 2016**

Smt. Heera Bai Kanwar Wd/o Late Shri Birsingh Kanwar, Aged About 70 Years R/o Chodha, Post Nonbirra (Pali), District Korba, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director Finance, Mahanadi Bhavan, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Collector, Tribal Welfare Department, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh
4. Joint Director, Account and Pension, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
5. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh
6. District Treasury Officer, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh
7. Block Education Officer, Podi-Uproda, District Korba Chhattisgarh
8. State Bank Of India, Through Branch Manager, Branch Podi Uproda, District Korba Chhattisgarh

--- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Dhani Ram Patel, Advocate appearing on behalf of Mr. Shashi Kumar Kushawah, Advocate

For State/Respondents N.1 to 7 : Mr. Prasun Bhaduri, Govt. Advocate

For Respondent No.8 : Mr. P.R.Patankar, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****09/01/2017**

(1) Heard.

(2) The present petition has been preferred by the petitioner for direction to the respondents for grant of the pensionary benefits to the petitioner w.e.f. 20.05.1999.

(3) The respondents have during the pendency of the writ petition filed submission memo stating that the pensionary dues of the petitioner has been paid in as much arrears of pension of Rs. 3,16,186/- has been deposited in the account of the petitioner. Subsequently, the entries also show the monthly regular pension from August, 2016 is also being deposited in the account of the petitioner as it has been reflected from the statement of the account of petitioner filed by the State counsel vide submission memo dated 30.11.2016.

(4) In the light of the grant of arrears of pension as well as grant of regular monthly pension, now nothing remains for further adjudication in the matter.

(5) According to the petitioner, she may be permitted to make fresh representation before respondent No.4 as well as respondent No.5 after verification of the information supplied by the State in their submission memo dated 30.11.2016.

(6) With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition stands finally disposed of as having infructuous as of now.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

L/-