

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 3761 of 2017**

1. Dushwant Dehri S/o Lingraj Dehri, Aged About 30 Years Presently Working As Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Govt. Primary School, Pikrimal, Tahsil Baramkela, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
2. Ramdayal Choudhary S/o Daduram Choudhary, Aged About 50 Years Presently Working As Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Govt. Primary School, Khichri, Tahsil Baramkela, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
3. Kamlesh Yadav S/o Padumlal Yadav, Aged About 30 Years Presently Working As Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Govt. Primary School, Kumhari, Tahsil Baramkela, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. Dilip Kumar Choudhary S/o Badri Prasad Choudhary, Aged About 28 Years Presently Working As Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Govt. Primary School, Bar, San Ke, Devgaon, Tahsil Baramkela, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat & Rural Welfare Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Baramkela, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri CJK Rao, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**Order On Board****16/08/2017**

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in the present case is squarely covered by order dated 03.07.2017 passed in WPS

No.2791 of 2017 in respect of similarly situated employee, whose transfer from the jurisdiction of one Zila Panchayat to other Zila Panchayat has been held to be without jurisdiction and illegal by this Court in WPS No.1273 of 2016 (Smt. Geeta Sukhdeve Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others) and batch of petitions.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that one Ku. Girija Patel was also one of the aggrieved employee like the petitioners had assailed the order of transfer from one Zila Panchayat to other Zila Panchayat, which was set aside by this Court. Later on, Ku. Girija Patel filed another petition WPS No.2791 of 2017 claiming that she is entitled to salary for the period it was not paid to her for non-joining pursuant to the impugned order of transfer. That petition has been allowed vide order dated 03.07.2017 with the direction to make payment of salary with effect from the date of transfer till the date of joining.
3. Learned State counsel could not dispute the legal position and that the case of the petitioners is similar to that of Ku. Girija Patel in whose favour, order has been passed by this Court on 03.07.2017. He, however, submits that it would require factual verification as to the date on which the petitioners joined duty.
4. In view of the aforesaid submission, I am inclined to allow this petition on the same terms as in the case of Ku. Girija Patel (WPS No.2791 of 2017) decided vide order dated 03.07.2017 and direct respondents to grant consequential relief including wages with effect from the date of transfer till the date, the petitioners joined their duty. Let necessary steps be taken in this regard within a period of 60 days from today.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

