NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 7003 of 2017

- Smt. Satrupa Sahu W/o Shri Shyamlal Sahu, Aged About 28 Years Occupation Assistant Teacher (Panchayat), Govt. Naveen Primary School Vishrampur, Bhadrapara, Sankul Dhaniya, R/o Village Vishrampur, Bhadrapara, Thana Masturi, Vikashkhand Masturi, Thana Seepat, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. Director, Directorate, Chhattisgarh, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Masturi, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

5. Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

6. District Education Officer, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

7. Block Education Officer, Office Of The Block Education Officer, Masturi, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Petitioner	Shri Rajendra Kumar Patel, Advocate.
For Respondents/State	Shri Rajendra Tripathi, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

12/12/2017

1. Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 13.11.2017 by

which she has been transferred from Govt. Naveen Primary School, Vishrampur, Bhadrapara to Primary School, Sanjaynagar Jondhara.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that for the present the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation and the concerned authority of the respondent may be directed to decide the same, in accordance with law.

3. Considering the facts situation of the case, it would be appropriate to allow the petitioner to prefer a representation before the concerned competent authority raising his grievance against the impugned transfer order.

4. Let the petitioner prefer representation within a period of 04 weeks from today and thereafter, the concerned competent authority shall consider and decide the same within the next 8 weeks, in accordance with law and on its own merits.

5. For a period of 12 weeks or till the representation is decided, whichever is earlier, the *status quo,* as it exists today, in respect of the petitioner's posting, shall be maintained.

6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

Judge
Prashant Kumar Mishra

Akhilesh