

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 6946 of 2017**

- Dr. Ranjanachandrakar D/o Shri Naresh Chandrakar, Aged About 27 Years Working As Dentist, R/o Near Sushil Nivas, Club Para, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Director, Health And Family Welfare Department, Indravati Bhawan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Mission Director, National Health Mission, Sector 27, 3th Floor, Chhattisgarh Housing Board Commercial Complex (South East Corner) New Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Medical Officer, Government District Hospital, Kharora, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh
4. Block Medical Officer, Community Health Center, Saraipali, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Medical Officer, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioner	Ms Aditi Singhvi, Advocate.
For Respondents/State	Shri Sameer Behar, P.L. and Shri C.J.K. Rao, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****11/12/2017**

1. Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 18.10.2017 by which petitioner has been transferred from Community Health Centre, Saraipali to Community Health Centre Jaijajpur, Jangir Champa.

2. Grounds raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner is a young unmarried girl and nobody is there to look after her at the transferred place which is situated at a distance of 200 Kms. The petitioner is submitted her option during the council, but the same has not been considered by the respondent authorities in its letter and spirit.
3. Considering the facts situation of the case, it would be appropriate to allow the petitioner to prefer a representation before the concerned competent authority raising his grievance against the impugned transfer order.
4. Let the petitioner prefer representation within a period of 04 weeks from today and thereafter, the concerned competent authority shall consider and decide the same within the next 8 weeks, in accordance with law and on its own merits.
5. For a period of 12 weeks or till the representation is decided, whichever is earlier, the *status quo*, as it exists today, in respect of the petitioner's posting, shall be maintained.
6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.
7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

Judge
Prashant Kumar Mishra

Akhilesh