

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No.3290 of 2017**

Joyce S. Samuel D/o Shri Shibu Samuel, Aged About 22 Years R/o M.I.G. I/756, Amdi Nagar, HUDCO, Bhilai, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Higher Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Secretariat, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Chancellor, Durg University, Governors House, Civil Lines, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Director, Directorate Of Higher Education, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Pandit Ravishankar Shukla University, Through Its Registrar, G.E. Road, Amanaka, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
5. Durg University, Through Its Registrar, Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.
6. St. Thomas College, Through Its Principal, Ruabandha Sector, Ruabandha, Bhilai, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.
7. Principal, St. Thomas College, Ruabandha Sector, Ruabandha, Bhilai, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr.Amrity Das, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr.Arvid Dubey, P.L.
For Respondent No.5	:	Mr.Neeraj Choubey, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

14/12/2017

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that result of revaluation of the petitioner was declared by respondent No.5/University on 4.11.2017 and she was declared pass and as per Ordinance of the University, she applied for admission in MA (English) on 6.11.2017 before respondent No.6, which was forwarded to respondent No.5/University and thereafter

2

she has also made applications on 10.11.2017 and 20.11.2017, which have not been decided till this date. Therefore, against the inaction of the respondents, the present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner herein.

2. Notices have been issued to respondents No.5, 6 and 7. Mr.Neeraj Choubey appeared on behalf of respondent No.5. None appeared on behalf of respondents No.6 and 7.
3. Since the result of revaluation of the petitioner was only declared on 4.11.2017 and she applied for admission in MA (English) on 6.11.2017, but no decision has been taken by the respondents and her application has been processed and sent to respondent No.5, respondent No.5 is directed to consider and decide the application of the petitioner for admission in MA (English) within a period of 7 days from today strictly in accordance with law keeping in view the result was declared by respondent No.5/University on 4.11.2017 of BA (English) and further for delay in academic session of the University, the students cannot be made to suffer.
4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition finally stands disposed off. No cost(s).

Certified copy today.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

Judge

B/-