

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (227) No. 899 of 2017**

Smt. Reena Bharadwaj, W/o Taresh Bharadwaj, Aged about 35 years,
permanent resident of Kasaridih, Subashnagar Durg, Police Station – Durg,
District – Durg (C.G.)

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

Taresh Bharadwaj, S/o Late Mahettar Ram Bharadwaj, Aged about 40 years,
Resident of Ramantola, Mahasamund, District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

---- **Respondent**

For Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra Gupta, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

06/12/2017

(1) By the impugned order dated 09.11.2017, the Family Court, Durg has rejected the petitioner's application filed under Section 7 read with Section 25 of the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890 (for short, "Act of 1890"), against which the appeal is maintainable under Section 47(a) & (c) of the Act of 1890, therefore, the writ petition as framed and filed is not maintainable.

(2) Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not maintainable. However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail the remedy under the relevant provisions of law.

(3) The certified copy of the impugned order be returned to the counsel for the petitioner, after furnishing an attested photocopy thereof.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

