

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 6275 of 2017

- Shridhar Kokishwar K. Ramaswami, Aged About 33 Years
Lecturer (Panchayat) Govt. Higher Secondary School, Manpur
Block Manpur District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of
Panchayat & Social Welfare, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur,
Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur District Raipur
Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner - Cum - Director, Directorate Of Panchayat,
Chhattisgarh, 36 C Iii, Block Ii, Second Floor, Indrawati Bhawan,
Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur District
Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Collector, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Rajnandgaon
Chhattisgarh
5. Deputy Director (Local Fund Audit) , Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
6. District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
7. Block Education Officer, Manpur District Rajnandgaon
Chhattisgarh
8. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Manpur District
Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioner
For Respondents

Shri Vinay Kumar Shrivastava, Advocate.
Shri Sangharsh Pandey, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

22/11/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the issue agitated in this petition is covered by the Judgment rendered in the matter of **(Jayant Kumar Patle Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others)**.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent would submit that the petitioner has not yet completed 8 years of service, therefore, he is not entitled for the revised pay scale/ time scale pay.
3. Considering the fact that the petitioner's prayer is for quashing the circular dated 08.03.2017, which impedes his entitlement to obtain benefit of revised pay scale/ time scale pay, as and when it falls due, the writ petition is disposed of with observation that the competent authority shall consider petitioner's case regarding his entitlement to increment, time scale pay/revised pay scale, as and when he becomes eligible for the same, in view of the circulars issued by the State Government and the order passed by this Court in the matter of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation.

Sd/-
Judge
Prashant Kumar Mishra

Akhilesh