

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 6213 of 2017

- Smt. Sandhya Bhagat W/o Chanduram Bhagat, Aged About 39 Years R/o Infront Of Gupta Aata Chakki, Gangapur Kurd, Ambikapur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner - Cum - Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Balrampur Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Balrampur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6214 Of 2017

- Mrs. Kanta Sahu W/o Shri Bhojesh Kumar Sahu, Aged About 37 Years Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H.S.S. Bhawanipur Block Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6243 Of 2017

- Smt. Anusuya Devi Markam W/o Shri Ramsingh Markam, Aged About 37 Years By Occuaption Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H.S.S. Mahud B, Block Gundardehi, District Balod Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner - Cum - Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Balod Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat District Balod Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6268 Of 2017

- Pawan Kumar Sarthi S/o Shri Toda Lal Sarthi, Aged About 40 Years Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H.S.S.Libra Block Tamnar, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6212 Of 2017

- Uma Banjare W/o Shri Manharan Anant, Aged About 32 Years
Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H.S.S. Devgarh, Block
Tamnar, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And
Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya
Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat District Raigarh,
Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6253 Of 2017

- Sujata Chouhan W/o Shri Rajesh Kumar Chouhan Aged About 29
Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Tamnar,
Block Tamnar, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And
Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya
Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh,
Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6254 Of 2017

- Sarita Painkra W/o Shri Vinod Sai, Aged About 35 Years
Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H.S.S.Tangarghat, Block
Tamnar, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner**

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through its Secretary, Panchayat And
Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya
Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat District Raigarh,
Chhattisgarh

---- **Respondent**

And

WPS No. 6230 Of 2017

- Akhileshwari Patel W/o Shri Rajesh Kumar Patel, Aged About 42
Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H.S.S. Tmnar
Block Tamnar, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- **Petitioner**

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And
Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya
Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh,
Chhattisgarh.

---- **Respondent**

And

WPS No. 6271 Of 2017

- Balveer Sai Painkra S/o Shri Manuram Painkra, Aged About 33

Years By Occuaption Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S.
Bajarmunda Block Tamnar, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---- **Petitioner**

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---- **Respondent**

For Petitioners
For Respondents/State

Shri Parag Kotecha, Advocate.
Shri Sameer Behar, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

21/11/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the default has already been removed in WPS No. 6213/2017 and WPS No.6214/2017.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would further submit that for the present, the petitioners will not press relief clause 10.2 of the petitions. It is further putforth that rest of the claim in the writ petitions are covered by the judgment rendered in the matter of **(Jayant Kumar Patle Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others WPS No. 4271/2017 decided on 03.11.2017)**, learned State

counsel would not dispute the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. In view of the above all the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra). A copy of the order passed in **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) be placed on record of the present writ petitions.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

Akhilesh