

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No.2914 of 2017

Darshika Choudhary, D/o Sanjay Choudhary, aged about 22 years,
R/o 16-South Avenue, Chobey Colony, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. Govt. D.B. Girls' P.G. (Autonomous) College, Raipur (C.G.), through Principal.
2. Registrar, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner: Mr. C.K. Sahu, Advocate.

For Respondent No.2: Mr. Neeraj Choubey, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

08/11/2017

1. The petitioner has passed MA English Second Semester. She made an application for re-totaling of her marks which was done. Feeling dissatisfied with the re-totaling of her marks, she made an application for obtaining copy of her answer paper which was supplied to her. Thereafter, she has filed this writ petition for revaluation of her paper namely MA English Second Semester Fiction-II.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit the fees of revaluation which may be directed to be reevaluated by respondent No.1.
3. Learned counsel for the University would submit that Revised Ordinance No.170 – Ordinance for Semester System has been issued in which it has been categorically mentioned that student appearing for the examination is only entitled for applying re-totaling

and the provision of revaluation is not available.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. According to the aforesaid Ordinance, re-totaling is permissible which has already been done and result has been communicated to the petitioner. The provision of revaluation is not available under the applicable Ordinance promulgated by Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur and therefore no revaluation is permissible. In extraordinary case revaluation can be directed by this Court in exercise of power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, but the petitioner has failed to make out extraordinary case for directing revaluation in exercise of power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge