

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 6464 of 2017**

1. Tahlum Ram Sahu S/o Kundal Sai Sahu, Aged About 51 Years R/o Village Kallutola, Tahsil Chhuriya, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
2. Rajesh Kumar Ramteka, S/o Ramji Lal, Aged About 47 Years R/o Mahud, Post Mahud, Tahsil Ambagarh Chowki, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
3. Jaitram Yadav, S/o Late N. R. Yadav, Aged About 47 Years R/o Village And Post Baghera, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
4. Suresh Kumar Patel, S/o Sarjan Patel, Aged About 46 Years R/o Village Kanharipuri, Post And P.S. Dongargarh, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
5. Kamta Sinha, S/o Murha Sinha, Aged About 45 Years R/o Village & Post Bandha Bazar, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Health And Family Welfare Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Public Health And Family Welfare Department, Indrawati Bhavan, new Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
3. The Collector, Rajnandgaon District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
4. The District Ayurved Officer, Rajnandgaon District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioners
For Respondents

Shri Goutam Khetrapal, Advocate.
Shri Sameer Behar, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board**

27/11/2017

I.A. No.1

1. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners on I.A. No.1 for exemption from filing fresh copy of documents. It is considered and allowed.
2. Grievance of the petitioners are that though the petitioners have been working as daily wager prior to 1998, but the petitioner's case is not being considered for regularization in accordance with the circular of the State Government dated 5-3-2008.
3. In reply to above, learned State counsel submits that if the petitioner files fresh representation along with copy of the petition, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.
4. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of. If the petitioner files fresh representation along with copy of the petition, then the competent authority of the respondent authorities is directed to consider and decide the petitioner's case for regularization strictly in accordance with State Government's circular dated 5-3-2008 issued in compliance of the decision of the Supreme Court in **Secretary, State of Karnataka and Others v. Umadevi (3) and Others**, reported in (2006) 4 SCC 1, on its own merits and in accordance with law, as early as possible, preferably, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of

representation.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the representation, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

Akhilesh