

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 2741 of 2017**

Sunil Kumar Gupta S/o Rajendra Gupta, Aged about 33 years, R/o Village Kharsiya, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Union of India The Secretary, Road Transport & National Highway, Mantralaya G -5-6 Sector 10 Dwarika New Delhi.
2. The National Highway Authority of India, Through The Chief Engineer Public Works Department National Road Area Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Additional Commissioner (Appointed By Central Government Under Section 3 - G (5) of National Highways Act 1956), Bilaspur Division, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
4. The Collector Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
5. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) / Land Acquisition Officer, Tahsil Kharsiya, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents**WPC No. 2750 of 2017**

Gendlal S/o Ganeshram Shrivastava, Aged about 46 years, R/o Village Chaple, Tehsil Kharsiya, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Union of India Through The Secretary, Road Transport and National Highway, Mantralaya G- 5-6 Sector 10 Dwarika New Delhi.
2. The National Highway Authority of India Through The Chief Engineer Public Works Department, National Road, Area Raipur District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Additional Commissioner (Appointed by Central Government Under Section 3-G (5) Of National Highways Act 1956) Bilaspur Division, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
4. The Collector, Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
5. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue)/ Land Acquisition Officer, Tahsil Kharsiya, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Shakti Raj Sinha, Advocate.
For Respondents	:	Mr. R.K. Kesharwani, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

10/10/17

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that the order dated 18.07.2017 passed by the Additional Commissioner cum Arbitrator has not been complied with by the respondent No. 5- Sub Divisional Officer cum Land Acquisition Officer till date. Learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that the petitioner has also preferred representation before the respondent No. 5 but to no avail. Hence, this writ petition.

2. I have learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Be that as it may, the respondents No. 4 and 5 are directed to comply with the order of Additional Commissioner dated 18.07.2017 and proceed in accordance with law expeditiously and conclude it within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If the grievance of the petitioner still subsists, the petitioner would be at liberty to proceed further in accordance with law.

4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

SD/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge