

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPC No. 2401 of 2017**

Santosh Kumar Kaushik S/o Late Shri Kartik Ram Kaushik, Aged About 43 Years R/o Village Jinda, Police Station Pipariya, Tahsil Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Department Of Home, Mahanadi Bhawan New Raipur, Civil & Revenue District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Director General of Police, Raipur, Civil & Revenue District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
4. The Station House Officer, Police Station Pipariya, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
6. The Tahsildar, Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
7. Prakash Chandrawanshi S/o Shri Dulari Ram, Aged about 42 years, R/o Village Jinda, Police Station Pipariya, Tahsil Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
8. Lala Chandrawanshi S/o Shri Dulari Ram, Aged about 55 years, R/o Village Jinda, Police Station Pipariya, Tahsil Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
9. Sushil Chandrawanshi S/o Shri Dulari Ram, Aged about 47 years, R/o Village Jinda, Police Station Pipariya, Tahsil Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
10. Parbat Bai W/o Prakash Chandrawanshi, Panch Ward No.5, Village Jinda, Police Station Pipariya, Tahsil Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
11. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchyat, Kawardha, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Paras Mani Shriwas, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

01/09/17

Learned counsel appearing for the State would submit that the order of respondent No. 5- SDO, Kawardha is appealable before the Collector under Rule 3(a) of the Chhattisgarh Panchayats (Appeal and Revision) Rules, 1995.

2. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to prefer an appeal before the Collector expeditiously.

3. I have heard counsel for the parties. The prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

4. The petitioner is permitted to prefer an appeal before the Collector. If such appeal is filed by the petitioner before the Collector, in turn, the Collector shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law and on its own merit expeditiously.

5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-
(**Sanjay K. Agrawal**)
Judge