

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1877 of 2017

- Mayank Singh S/o Late Shri C. P. Singh, Aged About 39 Years Presently, Posted and Working As Assistant Grade II, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, R/o Kotra Road, Raigarh, Tahsil & District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Under Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
3. Director, Directorate Of Urban Administration & Development, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
4. Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	Shri Rajendra Tripathi, Advocate
For Respondent-State	Shri S. P. Kale, Dy. AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

20/04/2017

1. Petitioner would assail the order of transfer passed by the Department of Urban Administration and Development on 11.04.2017 transferring him in the same capacity as Assistant Grade-II from Municipal Corporation, Raigarh to Municipal

Corporation, Ambikapur.

2. It is argued that the petitioner has been transferred during the ban period without the matter being taken for orders on coordination. It is also argued that the petitioner would lose his seniority at the transferred Corporation, which may ultimately adversely impact his chances of promotion.
3. Considering the entire facts situation of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with direction that in the event, the petitioner prefers representation before the Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development within a period of one month from today, the said Secretary shall consider and decide the representation within next 3 months.
4. Till the representation is decided, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the present petitioner shall remain stayed.

Sd/-

JUDGE

PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

Nirala