

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 1612 of 2017**

- Panchram Sahu S/o Shri Shirjhu Ram Sahu, Aged About 40 Years, Occupation Service, Presently Posted as Chowkidar, In the Office Of R. E. S. Sub Division Dabhra, Division Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh).

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Development Commissioner, Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Vikas Bhawan, Civil Lines Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
3. Chief Engineer, Rural Engineering Services Vikas Bhawan, Civil Lines Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
4. Superintending Engineer, Rural Engineering Services, Office Of Development Commissioner Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
5. Executive Engineer, Rural Engineering Services Division Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh).
6. Sub Divisional Officer , Rural Engineering Services Sub Division Dabhra, District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh).

**---- Respondents**

---

|                      |                               |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| For Petitioner       | Shri Indra Sen Sahu, Advocate |
| For Respondent-State | Shri Shashank Thakur, GA      |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**

**Order On Board**

**06/04/2017**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is working on daily wages prior to 31.12.1997 and his case has

been recommended by the Scrutiny Committee constituted by the Department in terms of the circular dated 05.03.2008, yet no order has been passed since last more than 3 years.

2. Considering the entire facts situation of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the question about the petitioner's regularization in terms of the circular dated 05.03.2008, at the earliest, preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of submission of certified copy of this order.
3. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

JUDGE

PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

Nirala