

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**REVP No. 32 of 2017**

- Deepak Kumar Barle S/o Late Babulal Barle, Aged About 31 Years R/o 111, Sevta para, Ward No.3, Dongargaon, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh Professional Examination Board Raipur (V Y A P A M) Through Secretary Chhattisgarh Professional Examination Board Raipur (V Y A P A M), Tah. And Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Controller Chhattisgarh Professional Examination Board Raipur, Tah. And Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Commissioner, Directorate Technical Education Raipur, Indravati Bhawan, 3rd & 4th Floor, Block 3, Raipur, Tah. & Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents**Application for review of the order dated 19.01.2017 passed in****WPC No.138 of 2017****By circulation in chamber****SB : Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra****13/04/2017**

1. The matter is taken up for consideration in the chamber under provisions of sub-rule (2) of Rule 90 under Chapter VI of the High Court of Chhattisgarh Rules, 2007.
2. The review petitioner (for short 'applicant') seeks review of the order dated 19.01.2017 passed by this Court in WPC No.138/2017 on the ground that this Court ought to have directed for revaluation of the answer-sheets. No other specific ground has been raised by the petitioner and even no specific provision/rule/regulation has been referred.

3. In the garb of Review Application, the applicant was seeking to urge the merits of the decision all over again which is impermissible under the review jurisdiction. The order of which review is sought is a detailed and reasoned order. There is no error apparent on the face of the record to warrant invocation of review jurisdiction.
4. The scope of the review jurisdiction is narrow confined to errors apparent on the face of the record or if a relevant provision of law had been overlooked. In other words, it is only a patent error which is amenable to review and not an error which may have to be discovered by a process of reasoning and what may be called a virtual rehearing of the matter. In the garb of a Review Petition, this Court cannot sit in judgment over its own order.
5. In view of the above, the review petition, *sans* merit is liable to be and is hereby dismissed.

Sd/-
Judge
(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

Ashu