

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.768 of 2017**

1. Bhuneshwar Prasad Patel S/o Shri Tikam Singh Patel, Aged About 40 Years R/o Village & Post Banhar, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
2. Bharatbhushan Soni S/o Shri Chhotelal Soni, Aged About 44 Years R/o Village & Post Chhal, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
3. Banwarilal Patel S/o Shri Motilal Patel, Aged About 42 Years R/o Village Khedapali, Post Chhal, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
4. Yuvraj Kumar Patel S/o Shri Motilal Patel, Aged About 40 Years R/o Village Khedapali, Post Chhal, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
5. Ku. Nirmala Patel D/o Shri Murlidhar Patel, Aged About 41 Years Posted As Headmaster, Govt. Primary School, Jaldega, Block Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
6. Ku. Seema Sendre D/o Shri Chandramani Sendre, Aged About 40 Years Posted As Headmaster, Govt. Primary School, Rampur, Block Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director, Directorate Of Public Instructions, Block C, First Floor, Indravati Bhawan, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The District Education Officer, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

--- Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
 For State : Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

14/02/2017

(1) Heard.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have made representation before the respondent authorities for including their name in the gradation list published of the Headmaster, Primary School/Upper Division Teacher issued on 01.04.2014 by respondent No.2, which has not been considered and decided by respondent No.2 i.e Director, Directorate of Public Instructions, Raipur and therefore, direction may be issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the same expeditiously. To which, counsel for the State has no objection.

(3) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(4) Be that as it may, respondent No.2 i.e. Director, Directorate of Public Instructions, Raipur is directed to consider and decide upon the pending representations of the petitioners for including their name in the gradation list in accordance with law preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(5) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent No.2 would be at liberty to decide the same in accordance with law.

(6) With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-