

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.766 of 2017**

1. Anand Toppo S/o Banaram Toppo, Aged About 42 Years Posted As Headmaster, Government Primary School, Tendumudi, Block Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
2. Jagdish Rathiya, S/o Dhaniram, Aged About 45 Years Posted As Headmaster, Government Primary School, Samarsingha, Block Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
3. Stanislas Tigga S/o Shri Sukhlal Tigga, Aged About 42 Years Posted As Headmaster, Government Primary School, Gerwani, Block Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
4. Uttara Kumar Rathiya, S/o Budhwaram, Aged About 47 Years Posted As Headmaster, Government Primary School, Auranara, Block Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
5. Kawal Singh Rathiya S/o Shri Dular Singh Rathiya, Aged About 40 Years Posted As Headmaster, Government Primary School, Vangarsuta, Block Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director, Directorate Of Public Instructions, Block C, First Floor, Indravati Bhawan, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The District Education Officer, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

--- Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
 For State : Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

14/02/2017**(1) Heard.**

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have made representation before the respondent authorities for including their name in the gradation list published of the Headmaster, Primary School/Upper Division Teacher issued on 01.04.2014 by respondent No.2, which has not been considered and decided by respondent No.2 i.e Director, Directorate of Public Instructions, Raipur and therefore, direction may be issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the same expeditiously. To which, counsel for the State has no objection.

(3) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(4) Be that as it may, respondent No.2 i.e. Director, Directorate of Public Instructions, Raipur is directed to consider and decide upon the pending representations of the petitioners for including their name in the gradation list in accordance with law preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(5) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent No.2 would be at liberty to decide the same in accordance with law.

(6) With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-