

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.715 of 2017**

Panchram Rathore S/o Shri Jhabbu Rathore, Aged About 46 Years
Occupation Service, Presently Posted As Peon, In The Office Of
Executive Engineer, Rural Engineering Services Division Marwahi,
District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary : Department Of
Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya Mahanadi
Bhawan, Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Development Commissioner, Chhattisgarh Raipur, Vikas
Bhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Chief Engineer, Rural Engineering Services Vikas Bhawan, Civil
Lines, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Superintending Engineer, Rural Engineering Services Bilaspur
Circle Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Executive Engineer, Rural Engineering Services Division
Marwahi, Head Quarter Pendra, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Indrasen Sahu, Advocate.
For State : Mr. Prafull N. Bharat, Addl. Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

10/02/2017**(1)** Heard.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
respondents No.4 & 5 have recommended the case of the
petitioner before the higher authorities of the department for

regularization his service in accordance with circular dated 05.03.2008 issued by State Government and he has also made representation before respondent No.1 to consider his case but till now, no decision has been taken by the respondent No.1 and therefore, direction may be issued to respondent No.1 to decide the same expeditiously.

(3) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(4) In view of above, respondents No.1 & 2 are directed to consider and take a decision on the case of the petitioner for regularization his service in accordance with circular dated 05.03.2008 issued by State Government preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(5) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and respondent authorities would be at liberty to decide the case of the petitioner as per rule.

(6) With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-