

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 748 of 2017**

Kailash Joshi, S/o Shridhar Joshi, Aged about 34 years, R/o village
Amarpur, P.S.- Pendra, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Aadim Jati & Anusuchit Jati Vikas, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Dist. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Commissioner, Department Of Aadim Jati & Anusuchit Jati Vikas, Chhattisgarh Dist. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Assistant Commissioner, Department of Aadim Jati & Anusuchit Jait Vikas, Chhattisgarh, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri H.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Smt. Astha Shukla, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board By****14/02/2017****(1)** Heard.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is working on the post of Peon on monthly basis at collector rate in Hostel and he is entitled for grant of pay scale as per circular dated 04th November, 2016 issued by respondent No.1/State Government and therefore, he may be permitted to prefer representation before respondent No. 4 i.e. Collector, Bilaspur for redressal of his grievances and a direction may be issued to the said authority to decide the same expeditiously.

(3) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(4) In view of above, the petitioner is permitted to file representation before respondent No.4 i.e. Collector, Bilaspur. If such representation is made by the petitioner within 15 days from today, respondent No. 4 shall consider and decide the same in light of circular dated 04th November, 2016 preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order along with representation of the petitioner.

(5) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and authority concerned would be at liberty to decide the representations of the petitioner in accordance with law.

(6) With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-