

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 341 of 2017**

1. Ramesh Ram S/o Luta Ram, Aged About 45 Years Working As Cook, Presently Posted On Middle School Kamtara, Tahsil + Block Kunkuri, Distt Jashpur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Smt. Ilisaba Akka, W/o Sirjus Akka, Aged About 38 Years Working As Cook, Presently Posted On Middle School Kamtara, Tahsil + Block Kunkuri, Distt Jashpur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Ku. Suranti Chouhan, D/o Virjhu Ram, Aged About 27 Years Working As Cook, Presently Posted On Primary School Kurkunga, Tahsil + Block Kunkuri, Distt Jashpur, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Vinod Kumar Ram, S/o Rupchand Ram, Aged About 25 Years Working As Cook, Presently Posted On New Middle School Darakharika, Tahsil + Block Kunkuri, Distt Jashpur, (Chhattisgarh)
5. Ramjeet Ram, S/o Sulechan Ram, Aged About 38 Years Working As Cook, Presently Posted On Primary School Dodapani, Tahsil + Block Kunkuri, Distt Jashpur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Janak Ram, S/o Chandro Ram, Aged About 44 Years Working As Cook, Presently Posted On Primary School Dhonga Amba, Tahsil + Block Kunkuri, Distt Jashpur, (Chhattisgarh)

**---- Petitioners****Versus**

1. Union Of India Through: The Secretary, Ministry Of Human Resources Development, Department Of School Education And Literacy, Mid Day Meal Division Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : The Secretary, Department Of Education, New Mantralay, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Directorate, School Education, Through : The Director, School Education, Shiksha Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Collector, Jashpur, District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh)
5. District Education Officer, Jashpur, District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Block Education Officer, Kunkuri, District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh).

**---- Respondents**

|                       |   |                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner        | : | Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate appearing on behalf of Mr. Sourabh Sharma, Advocate |
| For Respondents/State | : | Mr. Bhupendra Singh, Advocate and Mr. Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy.G.A. and            |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**  
**Order on Board**

**20/01/2017**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are working on the post of Cook in the Government Primary School and they are being paid only Rs.1200/- per month i.e. Rs.40/- per day, whereas according to the notification Annexure P/2, minimum wages prescribed by the Chhattisgarh Minimum Wage, they are entitled for Rs.255/- per day as they are skilled labour. He would rely upon the judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Jagjit Singh & Ors.**, decided on 26<sup>th</sup> October, 2016, in which the Supreme Court has held that the principle of equal pay for equal work will also applicable to all the temporary employees and has been held as under:-

“54. There is no room for any doubt, that the principle of ‘equal pay for equal work’ has emerged from an interpretation of different provisions of the Constitution. The principle has been expounded through a large number of judgments rendered by this Court, and constitutes law declared by this Court. The same is binding on all the courts in India, under Article 141 of the Constitution of India. The parameters of the principle, have been summarized by us in paragraph 42 hereinabove. The principle of ‘equal pay for equal work’ has also been extended to temporary employees (differently described as work-charge, daily-wage, casual, ad-hoc, contractual, and the like). The legal position, relating to temporary employees, has been summarized by us, in paragraph 44 hereinabove. The above legal position which has been repeatedly declared, is being reiterated by us, yet again”

2. In view of above, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioners in the light of aforesaid judgment of the Supreme Court within 30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and to pass a reasoned order in accordance with law on its own merit. The petitioners are at liberty to make an additional

representation,if any.

3. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)**  
**JUDGE**

L/-