

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 107 of 2017**

1. Smt. Bhagwanti Bai W/o Shri Santram Nishad, Aged About 51 Years
 2. Smt. Purnima Sahu, W/o Shri Premlal Sahu, Aged About 40 Years
 3. Smt. Budha Bai, W/o Shri Chait Ram, Aged About 58 Years
 4. Smt. Kenvra Dheewar, W/o Shri Ishwar, Aged About 44 Years
 5. Smt. Triveni Tarak, W/o Shri Bodhan Lal, Aged About 57 Years
 6. Smt. Sushila Tarak, W/o Bodh Prakash, Aged About 31 Years
 7. Smt. Radha Bai Tarak, W/o Shri Rajaram, Aged About 35 Years
- All are R/o Village Khola, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India Through: Secretary, Ministry Of Human Resources Development, Department Of School Education And Literacy, Mid Day Meal Division, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Department Of Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Directorate, School Education, Through : The Director, School Education, Shiksha Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Block Education Officer, Abhanpur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Government Middle School, Khola, Through: The Head Master, Government Middle School, Khola, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Government Primary School Khola, Through: The Head Master, Government Primary School, Khola, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
7. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Department Of Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr.T.K.Nande, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr.Rajkumar Gupta & Mr.Bhupendra Singh, Advocates
For Respondents No.2 to 7	:	Mr.P.K.Bhaduri, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board**

12/1/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that identical nature of writ petition has already been decided by this Court. One such writ petition is WP(S) No.6430 of 2016, which was decided on 25.11.2016.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that nature of relief sought for by the petitioners is similar to WP(S) No.6430 of 2016.
3. Accordingly, the present writ petition is also disposed of. The petitioners are directed to make the representations before respondent No.2, who in turn, shall consider the representations of the petitioners in the light of judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Jagjit Singh & Ors.**, decided on 26th October, 2016 and shall pass an appropriate speaking order on its own merit as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representations.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

B/-