

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 5808 of 2017

1. Rajendra Kumar S/o Sonalal Aged About 28 Years Caste Khairwar
Occupation - Service (Part Time Sweeper) R/o Village Bedmi , Police
Station Laduvapara, (Bedmi) Tehsil Odagi, Revenue & Civil District
Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
2. Basantlal S/o Jatanram Aged About 30 Years Caste Panika,
Occupation - Service (Part Time Sweeper) R/o Village Bedmi Police
Station Laduvapara, (Bedmi) Tehsil Odagi , Revenue & Civil District
Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
3. Dilbaran S/o Ramsubhag Aged About 27 Years Caste - Khairwar ,
Occupation - Service (Part Time Sweeper) , R/o Village Bedmi ,
Police Station Laduvapara (Bedmi) Tehsil _ Odagi, Revenue & Civil
District Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
4. Devidayal S/o Surajlal Aged About 26 Years Caste Panika , Occupation
Service (Part Time Sweeper) R/o Village Pendri , Police Station
Chandni Block Odagi , Tehsil Odagi Revenue & Civil District Surajpur
Chhattisgarh.
5. Siyaram S/o Deoman Aged About 25 Years Caste Pando, Occupation
Service (Part Time Sweeper) R/o Village Pendri Police Station
Chandni Block Odagi, Tehsil Odagi, Revenue & Civil District Surajpur
Chhattisgarh.
6. Khelsai S/o Bhaularam Aged About 27 Years Caste Rajwar, Occupation
Service (Part Time Sweeper) R/o Village Masanki , Police Station
Odagi, Block - Odagi Tehsil Odagi , Revenue & Civil District Surajpur
Chhattisgarh.

---- **Petitioners**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Tribal Development
Department Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur Revenue & Civil District
Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner , Scheduled Caste & Scheduled Tribe Development ,
Naya Raipur Revenue & Civil District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development Department Surajpur,
Revenue & Civil District Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
4. Collector , (Tribal Development) Surajpur, Revenue & Civil District
Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
5. The Block Education Officer, Ramanujnagar, Revenue & Civil District
Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
6. The Block Education Officer, Odagi , Revenue & Civil District Surajpur
Chhattisgarh.

7. The Block Education Officer, Surajpur Revenue & Civil District Surajpur
Chhattisgarh. ----- Respondents

For petitioners : Mr. Surfaraj Khan, Advocate.

For State : Ms. Satish Gupta, GA.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order on Board

14/12/2017

Heard.

1. This petition has been filed by the Part-Time Sweepers working in a school of district -Surajpur, whose services has been discontinued in the year 2012.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the large number of similarly situated Part-Time Sweepers were discontinued from service and they had filed petitions before this Court. All those petitions were disposed off by a common order dated 9.9.2015. It is submitted that the petitioner herein is identically situated as the petitioners in those petitions, because this petitioner was also Part-Time Sweeper appointed in the school of same district i.e. Surajpur and on similar consideration which weighed at the time of termination of petitioners in above referred petitions, this petitioner was also discontinued from service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Part-Time Sweepers are low rank of employees and very meagerly paid. Therefore, in these circumstances, this petition may be finally disposed off with a direction to respondents to examine his individual case and on parity, similar relief may be granted.

3. Learned State counsel submits that in this case, return has not been filed. Therefore, at this stage, it cannot be said that the case of the petitioner is also identically situated, as it require verification of facts.

4. In a batch of petitions filed by Part-Time Sweepers working in various schools in the same district where the petitioner was also working as Part-

Time Sweepers, this Court had an occasion to examine the correctness of decision taken by the authority towards enmass termination of Part-Time Sweepers. The reasons assigned for enmass termination, as reflected in the case of Rameshwar Prasad Rajwar & Ors. Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors. and batch of petitions, show that the appointments were illegally made by wrongly construing and interpreting direction of the State Govt. This Court after hearing the parties, held as below:

“7. Upon hearing learned counsel for the parties, it would appear that even if the respective principals/head masters were not informed or authorized to make appointment, the fact remains that the State Government had earlier issued communications to the effect that there is no restriction for appointing part time sweepers. It has not been disputed by the respondents that the petitioners were in fact appointed by the respective principals/head masters prior to issuance of the order (Annexure-P-1) and the order (Annexure-P-7). Once the appointments have been made, even on part time basis, mass cancellation of appointments, without there being any specific individual allegation of corruption or nepotism is not permissible. At the same time, if any part time sweeper is not attending duties as he belongs to some other village or is otherwise not efficient in his work, it always remains open for the concerned head of the department or the appointing authority to initiate action, as the petitioners have no right to hold the post, being only part time sweepers.”

5. Prima facie this petitioner also seems to be affected by the enmass termination while working in the same district and on similar consideration.

6. The petitioner, therefore, would also be entitled to similar benefits if his case is similarly situated as the case of those petitioners who had earlier approached this Court and in whose favour, common order was passed on 9.9.2015.

7. In view of the above consideration, respondent -Assistant Commissioner Tribal Development, Surajpur, shall individually examine the case of the petitioner and verify facts. If this petitioner is similarly situated as the petitioners in earlier batch of petitions, which was decided on 9.9.2015, the benefits which have accrued to those petitioners and as ordered by this

Court earlier in the case of Rameshwar Prasad Rajwar (supra) shall also be granted to this petitioner.

Considering that the petitioner is very low-paid employee, Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development, Surajpur, shall complete the exercise within a maximum period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

8. If the petitioner's grievance is not redressed/fully redressed, they will be at liberty to revive his petition.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)

Judge

Rohit