

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 5373 of 2017

Pushpendra Kumar Jangde S/o Keerti Prakash Jangde Aged About 20 Years R/o Village Kutrabod P/s & Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Higher Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Registrar, Chhattisgarh Kamdhenu University, Durg Chhattisgarh
3. The Incharge Officer, Veterinary Polytechnic, Veterinary & Husbandry College, Anjora, Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Jeet Patel, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Avinash Singh, Panel Lawyer

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

11/10/2017

Heard on admission.

1. By this petition, the petitioner has assailed action of the respondents by which his candidature for admission as candidate of agriculture category has been declined.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had disclosed all the documents and credentials to Tehsildar but the Tehsildar committed mistake in issuing incomplete certificate on 7.7.2017. Therefore, the petitioner cannot be held responsible because the petitioner has passed two examinations in the rural area school as per requirement in clause 5.3.2 of the advertisement.
3. It is not in dispute that the criteria stated in clause 5.3.2 of the advertisement clearly states that if the candidate does not submit certificate in proper form, candidate would not be entitled to benefit of admission in the agriculture

category.

4. The certificate issued in favour of the petitioner by the Tehsildar is not complete because with regard to fact as to whether the petitioner had passed two examinations from school in rural area, no certification was provided by the Tehsildar. The petitioner acted negligently and before submitting this certificate, the petitioner did not verify whether certificate was complete or not. For this, the petitioner has to blame himself. The rejection of petitioner's candidature was on account of non-submission of proper certificate. Therefore, the respondent cannot be said to have acted illegally.
5. Therefore, the petition has no merit. The same deserves to be and is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Praveen