

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4555 of 2017

1. Dev Kumar Kunjam S/o Shri P. R. Kunjam Aged About 44 Years R/o Village Shiguhan, Post Umradah, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
2. Smt. Padmini Teta, W/o Late Ganesh Prasad Teta, Aged About 32 Years R/o Village Bharritola, Post Charama, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
3. Dileep Kumar Markam S/o Shri Halalkhor Markam, Aged About 43 Years R/o Village Jhaliyamari, Post Budeli, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
4. Smt. Pratibha Sinha W/o Shri Lokeshwar Sinha, Aged About 29 Years R/o Village Nawadabri, Post Dumarpani, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Charama, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Narharpur, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
5. Treasury Officer, Treasury, Account And Pension Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Somkant Verma, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Satish Gupta, Govt. Advocate

S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

06/09/2017

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present case is squarely covered by order dated 03.07.2017 passed in WPS

No.2791 of 2017 in respect of similarly situated employee, whose transfer from the jurisdiction of one Zila Panchayat to other Zila Panchayat has been held to be without jurisdiction and illegal by this Court in WPS No.1273 of 2016 (Smt. Geeta Sukhdev Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others) and batch of petitions.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one Ku. Girija Patel was also one of the aggrieved employee like the petitioners had assailed the order of transfer from one Zila Panchayat to other Zila Panchayat, which was set aside by this Court. Later on, Ku. Girija Patel filed another petition WPS No.2791 of 2017 claiming that she is entitled to salary for the period it was not paid to her for non-joining pursuant to the impugned order of transfer. That petition has been allowed vide order dated 03.07.2017 with the direction to make payment of salary with effect from the date of transfer till the date of joining.
3. Learned State counsel could not dispute the legal position and that the case of the petitioner is similar to that of Ku. Girija Patel in whose favour, order has been passed by this Court on 03.07.2017. He, however, submits that it would require factual verification as to the date on which the petitioner joined duty.
4. In view of the aforesaid submission, I am inclined to allow this petition on the same terms as in the case of Ku. Girija Patel (WPS No.2791 of 2017) decided vide order dated 03.07.2017 and direct respondents to grant consequential relief including wages with effect from the date of transfer till the date, the petitioner joined her duty. Let necessary steps be taken in this regard within a period of 60 days from today.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge