

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4538 of 2017

- Sampat Ram Baghel S/o Shri Bhakuram Baghel, Aged About 39 Years Caste Basod, Working As Rural Agriculture Extension Office Area, Jamhor, Block Shankargarh, District Balrampur Ramanujganj, R/o Village Bhagwatpur, Police Station Durgapur, Tahsil And Police Station Shankargarh, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Mantaralya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Joint Director, Agriculture Division Sarguja Ambikapur, District Sarguja Chhattisgarh.
3. Deputy Director, Agriculture Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
4. Collector, Balrampur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
5. Sub Division Officer, Agriculture Ramanujganj, District Balrampur Ramnujganj Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri P. K. Patel , Adv.
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

05/09/2017

Heard.

2. Against the order of suspension dated 18-07-2017, the petitioner has preferred this writ petition because his representation for revocation of suspension preferred before the respondent authority has not been considered.
3. Though the petitioner has statutory remedy of filing an appeal against the order of suspension, instead of availing that remedy, the petitioner has chosen to seek indulgence of the authority, who suspended him, on certain factual premise, to revoke the order of suspension.
4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the claim of the

petitioner that the suspension order proceeds on incorrect factual premise, it is directed that the respondent No.3 shall examine the petitioner's representation and take decision thereon as to whether the case of revocation of suspension is made out or not. The respondent No.3 shall pass a speaking order within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. Accordingly, the petition is disposed off. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he would be at liberty to file an appeal.

SD/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge