

3. As per the case of prosecution, name of the victim is Ms. Punam Lakda. It is alleged that one Shanki Ekka transferred her to Delhi from her village for work at Delhi. At Delhi, the present applicant shifted Ms. Punam Lakda to other place of work from her first place of working.

4. To constitute the offence under Section 370 of IPC, transfer should be done in order to exploitation by using threats force or practicing fraud or deception.

5. As per the prosecution case, the present applicant has not transferred Ms. Punam Lakda from her village but she engaged her to some other place of work at Delhi from the place where she was working.

6. Ms. Mithilda appearing on behalf of the applicant, prays for grant of bail.

7. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application.

8. Perused the entire material available on record.

9. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that she is in jail since 12.6.2017, I am of the opinion that present is a fit case to release the applicant on bail.

10. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed.

11. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on her furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court for her appearance before the said Court as and when directed till the disposal of the trial.

12. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/

(Ram Prasanna Sharma)
JUDGE