

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No.2967 of 2017

1. Shobhit Ram Sahu S/o Late Shri Kunj Bihari Sahu, Aged About 61 Years
Posted As Assistant Superintendent (Head Of Department), R/o Adarsh
Nagar, Jhanda Chowk, Near Bhagwat Kirana Stores, Mowa, Raipur, District
Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Prakash Rao Thokne, S/o Late Shri Bhaskar Rao Thokne, Aged About 59 Years
Posted As Assistant Superintendent (Head Of Department), Office Of
Commissioner Land Record Chhattisgarh, New Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Revenue And Disaster
Management Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)
2. The Commissioner, Land Records, Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bhawan, Frist Floor,
Block No. 2, New Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Joint Director, Treasury, Account And Pension Department, Raipur Division
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Land Records, Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bhawan,
Frist Floor, Block No. 2, New Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Santosh Bharat, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Satish Gupta, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

11/07/2017

Heard.

2. Grievance of the petitioners are that even though, the petitioners are entitled to
up-gradation of pay as per notification dated 10-12-2014 to the next higher pay scale
of Rs.9300-34,800 with Grade Pay of Rs.4200/-, the said benefits are not being
extended.
3. The petitioners have already preferred representation (Annexure P/4) on 22-08-

2016.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the claim of petitioners shall be scrutinized and examined in accordance with the prevalent rules and circulars and appropriate decision shall be taken in the matter at the earliest.

5. In view of the aforesaid submission and that the representation of the petitioners have remained pending without any decision, at this stage, I am inclined to dispose off the matter with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the petitioners claim within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

SD/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge