

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 2736 OF 2017

Mangedar Ram Paikra, S/o Gudduram Paikra, aged about 63 years, occupation- Retired Sub Registrar, Sub Treasury Bilaigarh, R/o Bangalipara, Ward No. 6, Bilaigarh, Police Station Bilaigarh, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Registration Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur (C.G.)
2. The District Registrar, Registrar Office, Collectorate, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The District Registrar, Registrar Office, Collectorate, Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Abhishek Pandey, Advocate.
For Respondents	:	Mr. S.P. Kale, Dy. Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

29/06/2017

1. The limited grievance of the Petitioner in the instant case is that the Respondents No. 2 & 3 have not processed the case of the Petitioner for reimbursement of his medical bills that he has raised way back in the year 2011.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner on account of his sudden ill-health had been admitted in the Apollo Hospital, Bilaspur and remained hospitalized there from 3.9.2011 till 15.9.2011. At the time of his discharge, the hospital authorities have charged him an amount of Rs. 1,66,001/- towards surgery and medicines etc. The Petitioner immediately moved an application for medical reimbursement before Respondent No.2 on 3.11.2011. However, till date the said application for reimbursement of medical bills has not been processed. It is further contended that when the bills were raised the Petitioner was in service and at present he has superannuated with effect from 31.1.2017. He submits that the Petitioner needs money so as to meet his needs and necessities. He further submits that the Petitioner has already made a

representation in this regard to Respondent No.2 which is also pending consideration without any decision being taken.

3. Considering the total facts and circumstances of the case, as has also not been opposed by the Learned Counsel for the State, this Court is of the opinion that the ends of justice would meet if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Respondents No. 1 and 2 to take appropriate decision on the reimbursement of medical bills raised by the Petitioner promptly at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of presentation of certified copy of this order by the Petitioner before them.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

/sharad/

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge