

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPURWrit Petition (S) No.5911 of 2007

1. M.S. Tomar, S/o Late Shri K.S. Tomar, aged about 58 years, presently working as Drug Inspector, Bilaspur & R/o Care Chief Medical Officer, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
2. S. Babu, S/o Shri S. Sankaran, aged about 58 years, presently working Assistant Drugs Controller, Raipur, Office of the Food & Drugs Administration, Old Nurses Hostel, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. S.K. Buxy, S/o Late Shri S.K. Buxy, aged about 58 years, presently working as Drug Inspector, Bilaspur & R/o Care Chief Medical Officer, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. M.A. Quereshi, S/o Shri M.A.S. Quereshi, aged about 58 years, presently working as Drug Inspector & R/o Care Chief Medical Officer, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)
5. Nilambar Seth, S/o Dr. K.N. Seth, aged about 58 years, presently working as Drugs Inspector, Office of the Food & Drugs Administration, Old Nurses Hostel, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
6. Manmohan Malasaria, S/o Vishwanath Malasari, aged about 44 years, presently working as Drugs Inspector, Office of the Chief Medical Officer, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Petitioners

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, Through Principal Secretary, Department of Family Welfare, Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondent

For Petitioners:	Mr. Animesh Verma, Advocate.
For State/Respondent:	Mr. Arun Sao, Deputy Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. AgrawalOrder On Board27/06/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are Drug Inspectors working in the State of Chhattisgarh and they are getting less pay scale than that of those working in the

equivalent post under the Central Government for which they have made representation and same has not been considered and decided by the State Government.

2. Be that as it may, the petitioners are directed to make fresh representation along with supporting documents before the State Government and the State Government shall in turn, consider the same strictly in accordance with law and decide the same within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merit or not given any direction for considering / granting the pay scale and it is for the State Government to decide the representation, strictly in accordance with law.
3. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition finally stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge