

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No.4921 of 2011

Dr. Smt. Rama Singh, aged about 43 years W/o Dr. Prem Sai Singh, Child Specialist, Presently posted as District Immunization Officer, District Raipur (CG).

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (CG).
2. Director Health Services Govt. Of C.G., Old Nursing Hospital DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, CG.
3. Chief Medical and Health Officer, District Hospital, Raipur.
4. Dr. S.K.Medrik Child Specialist, Presently Posted At District Hospital, Raipur, CG.
6. Dr. Sanjeev Balwant Mangarulkar Incharge District Health Officer, Office of the Chief Medical and Health Officer, District Hospital, Raipur (CG).

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner

Shri Aditya Tiwari under instructions of
Shri Jitendra Pali, Advocate.

For respondent/State

Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy. GA.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

21/02/2017

1. The present petition has been filed seeking for a direction to the respondents/State to convene meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee (for short DPC) to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion on the next higher post. The petitioner also assails the order of respondent No.4 being appointed as immunization officer.
2. Pending the petition, the respondents have recalled the order of respondent No.4 being appointed as immunization officer and the said charge has been assigned to the petitioner and as such it redresses the grievance of the petitioner so far as relief No.3 and 4 are

concerned.

3. Now, according to counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, indisputably, is senior to the respondent No.4 and the only grievance which remains is that till now he has not been considered for promotion. Therefore, the concerned authorities be directed to hold a DPC to fill up the sanctioned vacant post and he be granted promotion looking to his seniority.
4. So far as the prayer for convening DPS and non granting of promotion is concerned, this court is not inclined to issue any direction in this regard to the respondents at this juncture. The issue is left open. The petitioner is at liberty to make detailed representation in this regard to the respondents No.1&2, who in turn shall look into the grievance so far as non holding of DPC is concerned and shall either hold the DPC or suitably inform the petitioner the reasons for not convening the DPC.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge