

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 3975 of 2010

Dena Bank Through Its Regional Manager, Regional Office At Rukhmani Bhawan, Opposite Jairam Complex. Raipur, Distt. Raipur (CG)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (CG)
2. Municipal Corporation, Raipur, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Raipur, Distt. Raipur (CG)
3. Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (CG)
4. Nagar Panchayat, Gunderdehi Through Its Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat Gunderdehi Distt. Durg (CG)

---- Respondents

And

WPC No. 23 Of 2014

State Bank Of India A Body Corporate Constituted Under The State Bank Of India Act, 1955, Having Central Office At Mumbai And One Of Its Regional Office At Korba, Through Chief Manager I.T.I. Branch Rampur Distt. Korba C.G.

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Urban Administration And Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur C.G.
2. Municipal Corporation, Korba, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Distt. Korba C.G.

---- Respondents

And

WPC No. 2094 Of 2007

State Bank Of India, a body Corporate constituted under the State Bank of India Act, 1955, having Central Office at Bombay and Branch inter-alia at Korba,

CSEB, Branch – Korba, Tahsil and District – Korba (CG)

---- **Petitioner**

Vs

1. Municipal Corporation Korba Through Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Korba, District – Korba (CG)
2. State Of C.G. Through Secretary Urban Development, Admn. D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Tahsil-Raipur, District-Raipur,

---- **Respondents**

And

WPC No. 1484 Of 2010

State Bank Of India A Body Corporate, Constituted Under The State Bank Of India Act 1955, Having Central Office At Mumbai And Regional Office At Bilaspur (Cg)

---- **Petitioner**

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (CG)
2. Municipal Corporation Jagdalpur, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jagdalpur, Distt. Bastar (Cg)

---- **Respondents**

And

WPC No. 2634 Of 2010

ICICI Bank Headquarters Mumbai Regional Office Bhilai Through Neeraj Rai, S/o C.L. Rai, Aged 32 Years, Intra Facilities Manager, GIAG, ICICI Bank Ltd., Gore Parisar, Behind Rajbhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur (Cg)

---- **Petitioner**

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Urban Ministry, Urban Administration and Development, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Distt. - Raipur (CG)
2. Municipal Corporation Bhilai, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, Distt.-Durg (Cg)
3. Nodal Officer Bhawan Anughya Shakha (Building Licence Branch), Municipal

Corporation, Bhilai, Distt.-Durg (Cg)

---- Respondents

And

WPC No. 2720 Of 2010

ICICI Bank, Through Neeraj Rai, S/o Shri C.L.Rai, aged about 32 years, Intra Facilities Manager, GIAG, ICICI Bank Ltd., Gore Parisar, Behind Rajbhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur (CG)

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of C.G., Secretary, Department of Urban Ministry, Urban Administration and Development, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Distt. - Raipur (CG)
2. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Raipur, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Cg)

---- Respondents

And

WPC No. 2736 Of 2010

ICICI Bank, Through Neeraj Rai, S/o Shri C.L. Rai, aged about 32 years, Intra Facilities Manager, GIAG, ICICI Bank Ltd., Gore Parisar, Behind Rajbhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur (CG)

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of C.G. Through Secretary, Department of Urban Ministry, Urban Administration and Development, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Distt. - Raipur (CG)
2. The Commissioner Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur (CG)

---- Respondents

And

WPC No. 3035 Of 2010

ICICI Bank, Through Neeraj Rai, S/o Shri C.L. Rai, aged about 32 years, Intra Facilities Manager, GIAG, ICICI Bank Ltd., Gore Parisar, Behind Rajbhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur (CG)

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Urban Ministry, Urban Administration and Development, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Distt. - Raipur (CG)
2. The Municipal Corporation, Chirmiri, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Chirmiri, Distt. Korea (Cg)

---- Respondents

And

WPC No. 651 Of 2013

HDFC Bank, A Body Incorporate, H.Q.- Mumbai Circle Office (MP & CG) Bank Houses, S.N. 94, Sector B, Behind Bombay Hospital Ring Road , Indore Through Amit Dubey S/o Shri U.K. Dubey Aged About 34 Year, Working as Branch Manager, HDFC Bank Link Road Bilaspur C.G.

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Deptt. Of Urban Administration Development, D.K.S Bhawan Raipur
2. Municipal Corporation Durg Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Durg Distt. Durg

---- Respondents

Smt. Fouzia Mirza, Shri Saurabh Sharma, Shri B.D.Guru and Shri Utsav Mahiswar, counsel for respective petitioners.

Shri R.K.Gupta, Dy.A.G. for the State.

Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Shri Chandresh Shrivastava and Ms. Pushpa Dwivedi, counsel for respective municipal bodies.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

03/03/2017

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the cause of action no longer survives because circular impugned in these petitions has been cancelled.

This position is not disputed by learned counsel for the parties.

Therefore, in view of above, petitioners' grievance no longer remains.

Nothing survives for consideration in these petitions.

Accordingly, these petitions are disposed off as having rendered infructuous.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Deepti