

1-4.
17-01-2025
(Ct. no.29)
debajyoti

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Special Civil Jurisdiction**

APPELLATE SIDE

**WPCRC 1 of 2025
in
WPA/18143/2017**

**Md Elias Ali
Vs.
Rabindranath Mondal & Ors.**

WITH

**WPCRC 2 of 2025
in
WPA/2464/2017**

**Shah Md Khaibul Alam & Ors.
Vs.
Narayan Chandra Sarkar & Anr.**

WITH

**CPAN 605 of 2018
in
WPA/19158/2017**

**Afsarul Hoque
Vs.
Rabindranath Mondal & Ors.**

WITH

**CPAN 607 of 2018
in
WPA/19165/2017**

**Istahaque Ahmed & Ors.
Vs.
Rabindranath Mondal & Ors.**

Mr. Kamalesh Bhattacharyya,
Mr. Parvez Hossain,
Ms. Pavel Das

... For the Petitioners.

Mr. Rana Mukherjee, learned APP,
Ms. Munmun Ganguly

... For the State.

Mr. Himadri Sikhar Chakraborty,
Ms. Susnita Saha

... For the Contemnors in
WPCRC/1/2025.

Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick,
Mr. Sayan Ganguly

... For the State in WPCRC
2/2025.

Mr. Subhra Prakash Lahiri,
Mr. Milan Kumar Maity

... For the State in CPAN 607 of
2018.

Re : WPCRC 1 of 2025

1. Pursuant to order of this Court dated November 29, 2024, the contemnor no.3 is present in Court today.

2. Compliance report filed by the alleged contemnor no.3 be kept with the records. Approval has been granted for the appointment of the petitioner with effect from November 29, 2024.

3. In view of the aforesaid, I see no reason to keep this contempt application pending. The contempt application is disposed of.

4. The petitioners say that the approval should have been with effect from 2017 when the order in question was passed. If the petitioners have any further grievance regarding enforcement of the aforesaid order, it would be open to the petitioners to take recourse to appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

Re : WPCRC 2 of 2025

5. Pursuant to order of this Court dated November 29, 2024, the contemnor no.3 is present in Court today.

6. Compliance report filed by the alleged contemnor no.3 be kept with the records. Approval

has been granted for the appointment of the petitioner with effect from November 29, 2024.

7. In view of the aforesaid, I see no reason to keep this contempt application pending. The contempt application is disposed of.

8. The petitioners say that the approval should have been with effect from 2017 when the order in question was passed. If the petitioners have any further grievance regarding enforcement of the aforesaid order, it would be open to the petitioners to take recourse to appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

Re : CPAN/605/18 & CPAN/607/18

9. Let these contempt applications be listed two weeks hence.

10. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

11. Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties on compliance with all the necessary formalities.

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)