

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.53111 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -231 Year- 2017 Thana -CHANPATIA District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

1. Sajjad Alam, Son of Mohammad Mian @ Mohmmad Alam.
2. Masum Alam, Son of Kari Mistri.
3. Izhar Alam, Son of Samsul Haque, All are Residents of Village-
Katahari, P.S.- Sathi, District- West Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Zainul Abedin

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-11-2017 The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

Chanpatia (Sirsia O.P.) P.S. Case No. 231 of 2017, registered for

offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioners is of snatching Rs.

67,000/- and a mobile from the informant and his father.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that

they have falsely been implicated in this case and it is alleged that

petitioner no. 2 and 3 fled away and petitioner no. 1 was caught on

chase in a sugarcane field, who disclosed the name of other

accused persons, however, nothing has been recovered from

possession of the petitioners. Further petitioner no. 1 has been in

custody since 21.06.2017 whereas petitioner no. 2 and 3 has been



in custody since 14.07.2017.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, so far petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are concerned, they are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM, West Champaran, Bettiah, in connection with Chanpatia (Sirsia O.P.) P.S. Case No. 231 of 2017, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make themselves available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on their part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the



prosecution is free to move for cancellation
of their bail bonds.

So far petitioner no. 1, is concerned, considering the fact
that he has been arrested on chase, I am not inclined to release the
petitioner on bail at this stage. However, once charge is framed in
this case, the court below shall release the petitioner on bail to his
own satisfaction.

With this observation this application is disposed of.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

