

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.51699 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -88 Year- 2017 Thana -HASPURA District- AURANGABAD

=====

Rajiv Ranjan Singh, son of Chandrika Singh, resident of village-Haibaspur,  
P.S.-Haspura, District-Aurangabad

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt Pushpa Sinha, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2/ 09-11-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Haspura P.S.**

**Case No.88 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Section(s)  
379, 427/34 Indian Penal Code.

There is allegation that this petitioner cut away the  
trees from the land of the Informant.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that  
petitioner is *Gotiya* of the Informant. Case has been filed on  
account of land dispute. Counsel for the petitioner has further  
submitted that trees had fallen in storm and were causing  
obstruction in cultivating the land and, as such, they were  
disposed off.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of



the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Haspura P.S. Case No.88 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

