

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.45768 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -31 Year- 2017 Thana -PASRAHA District- KHAGARIA

=====

Pranit Kumar Son of Ramkeshwar Verma, R/o Roun Chowk, P.s.- Alouli,
District- Khagaria.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-10-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Pasraha P.S.Case
No.31 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections 493/376
of Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged in the written report that the informant
had love affairs with this petitioner for the last three years and on
the pretext of marriage he established physical relationship with
her.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that both
are major. From the compromise petition filed in the court below
which has been annexed as Annexure-3, it appears that the girl
was having love affairs with this petitioner and physical



relationship was also established between them.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Pasraha P.S. Case No.31/17, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate II Ind, Khagaria**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

AnilKrSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

