

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5684 of 2017

=====

Chandeshwar Singh, Son of Sri Punyadeo Singh, Member, Kesaria Branch of Multi State Cooperative Bhumi Vikas Bank Ltd., Resident of Village-Konhiya, P.O. Konhiya via Kalyanpur, District-East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmer Welfare, Govt. of India, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. The Central Registrar, Room No. 224, Department of Agriculture & Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Registrar, Cooperative Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Patna
5. Multi State Sahkari Bhumi Vikas Bank Ltd. Bihar & Jharkhand Patna through its Chairman.
6. Chairman, Multi State Sahkari Bhumi Vikas Bank Ltd. Bihar & Jharkhand Patna.
7. Managing Director, Multi State Sahkari Bhumi Vikas Bank Ltd. Bihar & Jharkhand Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma, Advocate Mr. Lakshmi Kant Tiwary, Advocate
For the UOI	:	Mrs. Nivedita Nirvikar, CGC
For the State	:	Mr. Raj Ballabh Prasad Yadav, AAG-11
For the Bank	:	Mr. Y. V. Giri, Senior Advocate Mr. Rajesh Prasad Choudhary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 19-05-2017

Petitioner has filed this writ petition in public interest claiming to be a public spirited person and wants supersession of Multi State Sahkari Bhumi Vikas Bank Limited Bank (hereinafter referred to as 'the Bank').

Sri Y. V. Giri, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the



Bank in question produces before us a document which goes to show that the petitioner as a member of the Bank in question has been proceeded against by the Bank and certain complaints have been lodged against him and action has been taken against him.

That being so, at the instance of the petitioner, who is an interested person, and the fact that Bank has taken certain action against him, we are not inclined to entertain this writ petition. The petitioner does not have any *locus standi*. He seems to be an aggrieved or interested person and, therefore, at his instance, we are not inclined to entertain this public interest petition. The petition is, therefore, dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Sunil/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	23.05.2017
Transmission Date	

