

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.46560 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -104 Year- 2017 Thana -JALALPUR District- SARAN

- =====
1. Radheshyam Yadav, Son of Late Pundeo Yadav.
 2. Urmila Devi, Wife of Radheshyam Yadav,
 3. Sudhir Yadav, Son of Awadhesh Prasad Singh,
 4. Kajal Kumari, Daughter of Radheshyam Yadav,
- All resident of Village- Sabri Bakshijee P.S. Jalalpur, Dist- Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh -5, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 31-10-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Jalalpur P.S.

Case No. 104 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections

304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that petitioners are parents-in-law, unmarried *Nanad* and *Dewar* of the daughter of the informant. In the written report there is general and omnibus allegation against these petitioners.

Learned counsel for the informant has appeared and opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,



prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Jalalpur P.S. Case No. 104 of 2017, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-9th, Saran at Chapra, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

S.Ali/-

(Sanjay Priya, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

