

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.2303 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -55 Year- 2016 Thana -MUZFFARPUR COMPLAINT CASE District-
MUZAFFARPUR

=====

1. Mukesh Kumar Singh, Son of Late Rajendra Singh.
2. Kunal Kumar Singh, Son of Rakesh Kumar Singh.
3. Rahul Kumar Singh, Son of Sachidanand Singh.
4. Kanhai Kumar Singh, Son of Rakesh Kumar Singh.
5. Bikram Kumar Singh @ Bikram Singh, Son of Upendra Singh.
6. Nehal Kumar Singh, Son of Munna Singh, All residents of Village Kothia, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Appellants

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Veena Devi, Wife of Alok Kumar Paswan, Resident of Village Kothia, P.S. Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 17-11-2017 This appeal has been filed against the order dated 4.2.2017 by which learned Additional Sessions Judge-X-cum-Special Judge, SC/ST Act, Muzaffarpur has taken cognizance on protest petition filed by the informant.

Submission of learned counsel for the appellants is that as a matter of fact police after investigation submitted final form not finding case true and on protest petition cognizance has been taken. Further submission is that there is land dispute between the parties from before and, as such, final form has been submitted and cognizance has been taken against the appellants on protest



petition filed by informant.

Herd learned Special P.P.

Having heard both sides and from perusal of the petition it appears that there is allegation of abusing against the appellants and further they have tried to grab the land of the informant and there is prima facie case available on record, hence this appeal is dismissed being devoid of merit.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spa/-

U			
---	--	--	--

