

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16760 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -90 Year- 2013 Thana -DARBHANGA COMPLAINT CASE District-
DARBHANGA

=====

Ram Pravesh Safi Son of Late Lakshmi Safi, Resident of Village- Pohaddi
Purvi, Mushahari, P.S. - Bahera, District- Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

2. Mamta Devi, Wife of Ram Pravesh Safi, D/o Kedar Rajak, Resident of
Village- Pohaddi Purvi, Mushahari, P.S.- Bahera, District- Darbhanga.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Advocate

For the State : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 11-04-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 18.02.2017 in
connection with Complaint Case No. 90 of 2013 for the offences
alleged under Sections 498A, 494 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated and the accusations against the petitioner are highly
improbable. Petitioner's first wife, namely, Urmila Devi died after
giving birth to two children and thereafter the petitioner married
the complainant about 23 years ago. Again the petitioner
solemnized third marriage with Ranjana Devi. It is submitted that
the petitioner is ready and willing to keep the complainant with
due dignity and honour but she has left the house. The present
complaint has been filed by the complainant who wants the entire
land to be transferred in her favour even though the petitioner has
already transferred more than 10 *kathas* of land in her favour. The
petitioner has been acquitted upon compromise in the only other
prior case in which he had been made accused.



4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Benipur, District Darbhanga in connection with Complaint Case No. 90 of 2013 with the following conditions:

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

B.T/Chandran

(Vikash Jain, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

