

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15724 of 2013

=====

Rajesh Kumar Son Of Sri Uma Charan Prasad Resident Of Dadiya Baba Ki
Thakurbari, Tikari Road, P.S. Kotwali, District – Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Anita Kumari Wife Of Sri Manoj Kumar.
2. Manoj Kumar Son Of Sri Hari Nandan Prasad both Resident Of
Mohalla - Punjabi Colony, New Godown, P.S. Kotwali, District – Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

2 23-01-2017 Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioner.

This application has been filed praying for setting aside the impugned order by which the learned court below has rejected the prayer of the defendant not to receive the lease agreement in evidence on behalf of the plaintiffs.

The impugned order shows that the defendant challenged the acceptance of the lease agreement in evidence on the ground that it was in torn condition. However, the learned court below has taken into notice the fact that the defendant himself had torn the said lease agreement though it was prepared and signed by the defendant. The learned court below has come to the conclusion that the said lease agreement was necessary to be



taken on record for proper adjudication of the issues arising in the suit.

In the aforesaid backdrop, this Court does not find it a fit case to invoke the jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

The application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(V. Nath, J)

Devendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

