

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.18504 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -113 Year- 2016 Thana -BEERPUR District- BEGUSARAI

=====

1. Shankar Sah, son of Tilo Sah, resident of village- Bhavanandpur, Ward
No.06, P.S.- Birpur, District- Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sandip Kumar Gautam, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar -2, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 18-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and the
State.

The Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Birpur P.S.
Case No.113 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
420, 467, 468 Indian Penal Code.

As per written report rupees thirty five thousand for
Indira Awas Yojna was credited into the account of this petitioner
as allotment was done in the name of his wife on the basis of
documents provided by her, which was later on found to be
incorrect and forged.

It is mentioned in the written report itself that after
issuance of notice the petitioner has returned the amount in the
Nazarat.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of



the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Birpur P.S. Case No.113 of 2016, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, I, Begusarai, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

