

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20747 of 2013

=====

Ram Chandra Jha, aged about 65 years, S/O Late Ram Pragas Jha Vill-
Chandchar, Tola- Shekpura, P.O- Konail, P.S- Ujiarpur, Distt- Samastipur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Surya Narain Jha S/O Late Nirshu Jha Resident Of Village- Chanchour
Tola- Shekhpura, P.O- Konaila, P.S- Ujiarpur, District- Samastipur.
2. Smt. Sangita Devi W/O Sri Mani Kant Chaudhary And D/O Sri Satya
Narain Jha Residing At Village- Akaha, P.O & P.S- Ujiarpur, Distt-
Samastipur.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 04-12-2017 This application has been filed to quash the order dated

07.09.2013 passed by learned Munsif, Dalsingsarai in Title Suit

No.4 of 2011 whereby and whereunder the learned court below

adjourned the cross-examination of one of the plaintiffs witness

and called for the copy of Khatian from the Consolidation Office,

Ujiyarpur.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondent.

3. It appears that at the time of cross-examination of
witness, the learned counsel for the defendant produced copy of
Khatian and asked questions about genuineness of Khatian
which was produced before him as well as Khatian produced on



behalf of the defendants. The court below in order to ascertain the genuineness of Khatian, called for copy of Khatian from the Consolidation Office. The learned counsel for the petitioner failed to satisfy this Court as to how, the petitioner is being prejudiced by the order of the Court when the copy of Khatian has been called for from the office in order to ascertain the genuineness of document. The court below has rightly called for the documents from the Consolidation Office for ascertaining the genuineness of Khatian produced by both the parties in Court.

4. In view of above facts, I do not find any merit in this application requiring any interference in the impugned order. This application is accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar, J)

B.Kr./-

U			
---	--	--	--

